Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Appellate Reports Volume 192

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Kusy v. Norwich	171
Negligence; summary judgment; governmental immunity; claim that trial court improperly rendered summary judgment in favor of defendants on ground of	
governmental immunity pursuant to statute (§ 52-557n [a] [2] [B]); claim that	
snow and ice removal by municipality is ministerial act as matter of law; whether	
in absence of policy or directive prescribing manner in which municipal official	
is to remove snow and ice such act is discretionary in nature; whether trial	
court properly determined that removal of snow and ice at subject school was	
discretionary in nature; whether issue of whether removal of snow and ice is	
ministerial in nature is factual question that is reserved for jury and may not	
be decided by trial court by way of summary judgment; claim that trial court	
improperly determined that plaintiff failed to raise genuine issue of material	
fact regarding whether he was identifiable victim for purposes of identifiable	
person-imminent harm exception to governmental immunity.	
Nonhuman Rights Project, Inc. v. R.W. Commerford & Sons, Inc	36
Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly declined to issue writ of habeas corpus	90
pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 23-24 [a] [1] and [2]); whether habeas	
court properly concluded that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction; whether habeas	
court properly concluded that petitioner lacked standing to bring habeas petition	
on behalf of elephants; whether elephants, not being persons, lacked standing to	
file habeas petition; whether petitioner failed to establish next friend standing;	
whether habeas corpus relief was intended to apply to nonhuman animal; whether,	
pursuant to statute (§ 52-466 [a]), only person is authorized to file application	
for writ of habeas corpus.	
Sen v. Tsiongas	188
Negligence; premises liability; action to recover damages for personal injuries sus-	
tained by plaintiff tenant when she was bitten by dog owned by another tenant	
of defendant landlord; whether trial court erred in rendering summary judgment	
in favor of defendant; whether there was disputed issue of material fact as to	
whether defendant landlord should have known that tenant's dog had vicious pro-	
pensities.	
State v. Battle	128
Violation of probation; whether trial court improperly dismissed motion to correct	
illegal sentence; whether defendant challenged sentence imposed rather than	
events leading to conviction; whether trial court had jurisdiction to consider	
merits of motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that imposition of special parole,	
following determination that defendant had violated probation, constituted illegal	
sentence; whether defendant's sentence, including use of special parole, fell within "any lesser sentence" language of applicable statute (§ 53a-32 [d]); claim that	
defendant was denied due process of law when motion to correct illegal sentence	
was not acted on by specific judge who had sentenced defendant; whether motion	
to correct illegal sentence or sentence imposed in illegal manner must be heard	
and adjudicated by particular judge who imposed sentence; whether defendant's	
unpreserved claim that defendant was deprived of full and fair proceeding with	
regard to motion to correct illegal sentence failed under third prong of State v.	
Golding (213 Conn. 233).	
State v. Brown	147
Assault in second degree; threatening in first degree; claim that trial court improperly	111
denied motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that statutes governing concurrent	
and consecutive sentences (§ 53a-37) and addressing method of calculation of	
sentences (§ 53a-38) were ambiguous and contradictory; claim that § 53a-38	
is unconstitutional because it violates defendant's constitutional rights to due	
process, to be free from double jeopardy, and to equal protection; whether court	
had jurisdiction over claim in motion to correct illegal sentence that did not	
attack sentencing proceeding itself; claim that prisoners sentenced to consecutive	

sentences are members of suspect class; whether claim that aggregation of consecu- tive sentences adversely affected defendant's eligibility for parole and risk reduc- tion credits fell within ambit of double jeopardy.	
Home invasion; conspiracy to commit home invasion; assault in first degree; conspiracy to commit assault in first degree; claim that trial court violated defendant's right against double jeopardy by sentencing defendant on two counts of conspiracy pursuant to single agreement with multiple criminal objectives; whether appropriate remedy was to reverse judgment of lesser offense of conspiracy and remand case to trial court with direction to vacate conviction; claim that defendant's right to due process under Connecticut constitution was violated by state's failure to produce discernible photographs of crime scene; whether defendant met balancing test set forth in State v. Asherman (193 Conn. 695); whether defendant established materiality of indiscernible photographs; whether likelihood of mistaken interpretation of missing evidence by witnesses or jury was low; whether state's failure to preserve useful photographic evidence of condition of doors at crime scene was result of any bad faith or improper motive on part of state or law enforcement; whether defendant was prejudiced as result of unavailable evidence; whether trial court erred when it denied defendant's request for adverse inference jury instruction related to failure of police to produce discernable photographs; whether factual basis existed for specific charge requested by defendant; whether defendant showed that it was more probable than not that failure to give requested instruction affected result of trial.	221
State v. Marsan	49
State v. Moon	68
-	115

State v. Tarasiuk	207
Assault of public safety personnel; criminal trespass; whether trial court abused its discretion by permitting state to introduce evidence of prior felony conviction	
of defendant for criminal violation of restraining order for purpose of impeaching	
defendant's credibility; whether defendant failed to demonstrate that admission	
of evidence of prior felony constituted harmful error entitling him to new trial; whether state was required to prove that defendant intended to physically harm	
police officer; whether defendant's admissions supported jury finding that defend-	
ant intended to prevent police officer from performing duties; whether jury rea-	
sonably could have found any ameliorative aspects of defendant's testimony	
to be not credible; whether admission of prior felony conviction substantially	
affected verdict.	
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Caldrello	1
Foreclosure; standing; claim that trial court erred in concluding that no genuine	
issue of material fact existed with respect to plaintiff's standing and in rendering	
summary judgment as to liability in plaintiff's favor; whether plaintiff met its	
evidentiary burden and raised presumption that it was holder of note and rightful	
owner of debt; whether plaintiff was successor by merger to original holder of	
subject note; whether, under federal banking law (12 U.S.C. § 215a [e]), all of rights in note of original holder automatically transferred to plaintiff without	
need for any endorsement; whether defendant's submissions in opposition to	
plaintiff's motion for summary judgment failed to satisfy her burden to rebut,	
with competent evidence, presumption that plaintiff, as holder of note, was also	
rightful owner of debt and had standing to bring foreclosure action; whether	
defendant's submissions in opposition to plaintiff's motion for summary judg-	
ment as to liability lacked adequate evidentiary foundation; whether defendant	
presented evidence that some entity other than plaintiff owned note at time action	
was commenced or at any time thereafter; reviewability of claims; failure to	
provide adequate record for review of claims or to brief claims adequately.	
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Fratarcangeli	159
Foreclosure; special defenses; motion to strike; attestation of mortgage deed; notary	
public; claim that mortgage deed was invalid because there was no second	
attesting witness as required by statute (§ 47-5 [a]); whether trial court improp-	
erly concluded that validating statute (§ 47-36aa) rendered mortgage deed valid and enforceable; whether witnessing defect was automatically cured by § 47-	
36aa; whether trial court properly granted substitute plaintiff's motion to strike	
special defense of illegal attestation of mortgage deed as legally insufficient;	
whether § 47-36aa (a) (2) contains fraud exception for instances where it is	
alleged that lack of valid second attesting witness resulted from fraudulent act;	
whether trial court properly granted substitute plaintiff's motion to strike special	
defense of unclean hands as to attestation of mortgage deed; whether defendant	
alleged that conduct claimed to be unclean was done directly against defendant's	
interests; whether unclean hands doctrine was available to defendant on basis	
of allegations made in support of defendant's second special defense.	
Wilson v. Di Iulio	101
Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court improperly failed to award more	
than nominal alimony; claim that trial court abused its discretion by making	